



2027 – 2032

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Adopted by the Transportation Policy Board on October 21, 2026

CONTENTS

Metropolitan Planning Area Self-Certification	i
1 Introduction	1
1.1 About SCOG	1
1.2 Purpose of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program	1
2 Document Preparation	2
2.1 Review and Approval.....	3
2.2 Public Involvement Process.....	3
2.3 Programming Policies.....	4
2.4 Project Selection and Prioritization	4
2.5 2026–2031 RTIP Projects Not Included in the 2027–2032 RTIP.....	5
3 Amendment & Administrative Modification Procedures	7
3.1 Amendment Cycle	7
4 Projects.....	10
4.1 Fiscally Constrained Projects	10
4.2 Illustrative Priorities	11
4.3 Regionally Significant Projects	11
5 Financial Plan	12
5.1 Fiscal Constraint.....	12
5.2 Financial Feasibility Table	12
6 Regional Performance Targets.....	13
6.1 Transit Asset Management.....	14
6.2 Transit Safety	14
6.3 Highway Safety	15
6.4 Highway Bridge Condition	16
6.5 Highway Pavement Condition.....	17
6.6 Highway Travel Time and Freight Reliability	18
7 Obligation Authority.....	19
7.1 2027 Obligation Authority Plan	19
7.2 Obligation Authority Procedures	20

METROPOLITAN PLANNING AREA SELF-CERTIFICATION

In accordance with 23 CFR § 450.336, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) and the Skagit Council of Governments (SCOG), the metropolitan planning organization for the Skagit Metropolitan Planning Area, hereby certify that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including:

1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and 23 CFR 450, Subpart C;
2. In nonattainment and maintenance areas, sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 CFR part 93;
3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
4. 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
5. 23 U.S.C 101 note 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in DOT funded projects;
6. 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
8. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
9. Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender;
10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and
11. Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (2 CFR Part 200).

MPO

WSDOT

Jill Boudreau
Executive Director
Skagit Council of Governments

Ryan Clemens
Regional Coordinator
Tribal and Regional Planning Office

Date: _____

Date: _____

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 ABOUT SCOG

The Skagit Council of Governments is a federally designated metropolitan planning organization consisting of Skagit County, all cities and towns within Skagit County, Swinomish Indian Tribal Community, Samish Indian Nation, Skagit Transit, Skagit PUD, Port of Anacortes, Port of Skagit and Washington State Department of Transportation. SCOG leads the development of the long-range [*Move Skagit 2050 Regional Transportation Plan*](#) (Skagit 2050) and a medium-range regional transportation improvement program. These efforts are coordinated with the public, United States Department of Transportation, WSDOT, local elected leadership, local planners and engineers.

In addition to being a metropolitan planning organization, SCOG is also a regional transportation planning organization, as designated through Washington state's Growth Management Act. As a regional transportation planning organization, SCOG includes cities, towns, Skagit County, Skagit Transit, ports, tribes, private employer representatives and WSDOT in its planning activities. This includes preparation of a regional transportation plan, certification that countywide planning policies and local transportation elements are consistent with the plan, and development and maintenance of the six-year regional transportation improvement program.

1.2 PURPOSE OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

SCOG is required by federal and state regulations to develop a regional transportation improvement program (RTIP) which spans at least four years and is updated at least every two years. SCOG updates the RTIP annually and allows amendments to the RTIP on a monthly basis, except the last two months of every calendar year.

The RTIP is a compilation of projects from various federal, state and local funding programs for all transportation agencies in the Skagit region. Projects included in the program implement the long-range transportation and transit plans for the region, help meet the short-range needs of the area, and provide for ongoing maintenance and preservation of the existing transportation system.

A primary purpose of the RTIP is to identify and document federally funded and/or regionally significant projects to be included in the Washington Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). Projects cannot obligate¹ certain federal funds – even though the funds have been awarded – unless they are included in the RTIP and the STIP. Once the project funds have been obligated, the obligated funds will not be included in the next update to the RTIP, even if all of the funds have not been spent. For this reason, the RTIP should be viewed as a document that identifies programs and projects from the regional transportation plan and prioritizes them for implementation within the constraints of a reasonable financial forecast – not a listing of federal, state and local transportation spending. SCOG



CONCEPTUAL IMAGE OF NEW GUEMES ELECTRIC FERRY


¹ Obligation occurs when the project proponent has established a formal agreement with WSDOT and the funds have been designated, or "obligated" for that particular project.

produces an [annual listing of federal obligations](#) that documents federal fund obligations that have occurred within the Skagit region in the previous calendar year.

The RTIP also demonstrates the financial feasibility of the included projects. In other words, the RTIP illustrates that the projects programmed² in the next four years will not cost more than the amount of funding the region expects to be available. Section 5.2 includes a detailed financial table for the projects programmed in the fiscally constrained³ portion of the RTIP.

2 DOCUMENT PREPARATION

The RTIP is coordinated with the development of capital improvement plans, local comprehensive transportation programs, and tribal transportation improvement programs of SCOG member jurisdictions. When developing their transportation programs, member jurisdictions evaluate their transportation needs for the ensuing six-year period based on local priorities and expected funding levels available to meet those needs. Because the need for transportation improvements is often greater than the amount of funding available, the member jurisdictions prioritize their transportation needs to identify a six-year list of projects that they determine to be most important to undertake. Drafts of the transportation programs are available for the public, other agencies and internal departments to review. Member jurisdictions then make any revisions deemed necessary before adopting their comprehensive transportation programs, capital improvement plans, and tribal transportation improvement programs – indicating which projects have secured⁴ and planned funding.



RTIP DEVELOPMENT PROCESS

Local governments, tribal governments and WSDOT then submit their programmed projects to SCOG. The RTIP is a compilation of the projects with secured federal funding or regionally significant projects that have secured state or local funding. The project list for the 2027–2032 RTIP is included in Section 4. From these projects, an assessment of region-wide financial feasibility is estimated in Section 5.

² “Programmed” means that the funding for the project is scheduled to be obligated (see footnote 1) in a particular calendar year (January 1 through December 31) identified in the RTIP.

³ The fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP includes the first four years of the RTIP that are also included in the STIP. The RTIP may include projects programmed to receive regionally managed funding in years five and six – such as from the Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives – but may not be fiscally constrained.

⁴ “Secured” means funding has been committed or is available to the project sponsor for the project, including any required match.

Submit comments on the draft
2027–2032 RTIP to:

Mark Hamilton, AICP
Skagit Council of Governments
315 South Third Street, Suite 100
Mount Vernon, WA 98273
markh@scog.net

2.1 REVIEW AND APPROVAL

The draft RTIP is released to the public for review and comment in September, and is presented to the SCOG Technical Advisory Committee⁵ and Transportation Policy Board⁶ by SCOG staff. The Technical Advisory Committee recommends whether the Transportation Policy Board should or should not approve the draft RTIP. Members of the public are encouraged to provide written comments to SCOG staff. Written comments are included in meeting materials sent to the Transportation Policy Board for their consideration. The public

is also welcome to provide comments during the public comment period for the September Transportation Policy Board meeting where the draft RTIP is discussed, and for their next monthly meeting in October where RTIP adoption is considered. Opportunities for verbal comments are also provided at Transportation Policy Board meetings where the RTIP is discussed and considered for adoption.

After considering public input and the recommendation from the Technical Advisory Committee, the Transportation Policy Board decides whether to adopt the draft RTIP. After approval, the adopted RTIP is then submitted to WSDOT. WSDOT then reviews the RTIP and issues its approval by the end of November. With WSDOT approval of the RTIP, all fiscally constrained RTIP projects (Section 4.1) are included in the draft STIP. Representatives from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) then review the draft STIP, issuing approval in January 2027.

2.2 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PROCESS

The RTIP is developed in part from local comprehensive transportation programs that are compiled and adopted annually by local governments in the Skagit region. As required by state law, each local agency conducts a public involvement process in the development and review of their comprehensive transportation program. These processes vary by jurisdiction, but all culminate in a formal public hearing prior to adoption by the local governing boards.

Tribal governments follow federal requirements for preparation of tribal transportation improvement programs prior to including projects in the RTIP. WSDOT includes projects in a 10-year list of statewide project priorities referred to as the “[Project Delivery Plan](#)”, which is updated every year, and Skagit Transit includes projects in their six-year [Transit Development Plan](#), which is adopted every year by Skagit Transit’s board of directors. Both WSDOT and Skagit Transit projects in the Skagit region can be included in the RTIP following inclusion as priorities in their own planning documents.



**NEW SKAGIT TRANSIT MAINTENANCE, OPERATIONS AND
ADMINISTRATION BASE**

⁵ The Technical Advisory Committee is a SCOG committee consisting of transportation professionals who provide technical advice and recommendations to the Transportation Policy Board.

⁶ The Transportation Policy Board is a governing body of SCOG consisting of local and tribal elected leaders, a WSDOT representative, a private employer representative and state elected leaders.

In most cases, projects that have secured FHWA or FTA funding, or have secured another type of funding and are regionally significant, are included in the RTIP. The RTIP is a compilation of these projects, sourced from local comprehensive transportation programs, tribal transportation improvement programs, WSDOT's Project Delivery Plan and Skagit Transit's Transit Development Plan.

After transportation programs have been prepared and reviewed by the public, a supplementary public review process for the RTIP is also conducted. This allows the public to review and comment on the six years of medium-range transportation projects intended to implement the long-range transportation goals identified in *Skagit 2050*. Comments regarding the specifics of projects are often better directed to the project sponsors during the project planning stage.

SCOG posts notifications in the Skagit Valley Herald when the draft RTIP is available for public review. Notice is also posted on SCOG's website where the document is available to view and download. Printed copies of the draft RTIP are available from SCOG upon request.

A public comment period was held from September 18 through October 2, 2026. The final draft of the RTIP includes the submitted public comments below and will be presented to the Transportation Policy Board prior to any action taken regarding the adoption of the RTIP.

- (This will be updated following the public comment period.)

The public involvement activities and time established for public review and comments on the RTIP development process satisfy the Federal Transit Administration's Program of Projects requirements that Skagit Transit must follow.

2.3 PROGRAMMING POLICIES

SCOG has developed policies to aid in the preparation and maintenance of the RTIP, and to assist in the effective administration of regionally managed federal grant funds. These policies are hyperlinked below.

- [RTIP Policies](#)

2.4 PROJECT SELECTION AND PRIORITIZATION

SCOG is responsible for selecting projects for the regionally managed portion of federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives funding in the Skagit region. Projects selected are prioritized and incorporated into the RTIP, along with other FHWA and FTA federally funded projects, and regionally significant projects (see Section 4).

SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BLOCK GRANT PROGRAM, CARBON REDUCTION PROGRAM AND TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES

SCOG receives an annual allocation of federal Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives funds from WSDOT to commit to priority projects in the Skagit region. Projects are selected by the Transportation Policy Board using a competitive process guided by evaluation criteria, which is designed to ensure that projects are prioritized consistent with *Skagit 2050*.

SCOG programs four years of prioritized Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives projects into the RTIP and the STIP. SCOG typically programs an additional two years of projects to provide flexibility in project timelines, though this additional two years of programming can vary depending upon when calls for projects are issued by SCOG. Calls for projects are usually conducted every two years. Years five and six of the project

selection serve as an illustrative list of projects that can be included in the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP if funding becomes available (see Section 4.2). SCOG ensures that Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives projects are competitively selected to receive funding based on their ability to address priorities identified in *Skagit 2050*. The most recent formal project selection process developed to provide clarity on how projects are regionally prioritized for funding is hyperlinked below. Current programming of these three federal funding sources in the RTIP is hyperlinked as well.

- 2025 [Regional Call for Projects](#) and [Project Application Form](#)
- [Projects currently programmed using Surface Transportation Block Grant Program funds](#)
- [Projects currently programmed using Carbon Reduction Program funds](#)
- [Projects currently programmed using Transportation Alternatives funds](#)

EMERGENT NEED PROJECT SELECTION

The Transportation Policy Board approved a process to allocate additional federal funds to projects in certain emergent needs instances. Projects can only receive an emergent need award if they have been competitively selected by SCOG to receive federal funding for construction, and experience an unanticipated need during the construction phase. Emergent need allocation decisions are made on a case-by-case basis by the Transportation Policy Board.

- [Project Application Form](#)

2.5 2026–2031 RTIP PROJECTS NOT INCLUDED IN THE 2027–2032 RTIP

The following projects were included in the fiscally constrained portion of the 2026–2031 RTIP, including amendments to the RTIP that added new projects, but are not included in the fiscally constrained portion of the 2027–2032 RTIP. There are various reasons why a project would no longer be programmed in the RTIP. For example, a project could be completed, underway, cancelled or delayed.

See the following table for a summary of 2026–2031 RTIP projects that are not programmed in the 2027–2032 RTIP.

AGENCY	PROJECT NAME	DESCRIPTION	TOTAL COST (EST.)	STATUS
Mount Vernon	Blackburn Road Extension Planning (Little Mountain Road to Eaglemont Drive)	Pre-design planning to determine preferred horizontal and vertical alignments for a new minor arterial complete street roadway connecting Little Mountain Road with Eaglemont Drive.	\$300,000	Project underway
Mount Vernon	Kulshan Trail Safety Lighting - Phase 3	Construct trail lighting adjacent to Kulshan Trail and add wayfinding signage (Laventure Road to 30th Street).	\$375,000	Construction pending
Mount Vernon	Riverside Drive Improvements - 1	Rehabilitate pavement, repair sidewalk, correct ADA deficiencies, improve sight distance, and underground overhead utilities.	\$5,950,000	Preliminary engineering underway
Samish Indian Nation	SR20/Campbell Lake Road - Intersection Improvement	The 3-legged roundabout will improve regional mobility and safety, accommodate projected growth in the area, and improve resilience of local and regional transportation networks.	\$5,250,263	Project underway
Skagit County	Guemes Island Ferry Operating Costs	Operating costs for the Guemes Island Ferry.	\$1,749,254	Project underway
Skagit County	Guemes Island Ferry Parking Lot Improvements and Passenger Shelter	Improvements to the Guemes Island Ferry Parking Lot - grade and surface improvements and replace passenger shelter.	\$2,247,845	Construction funding no longer secured
Skagit County	Marine Drive / Rosario Road / Marine Wye Drive Intersection Improvements	Design and construct intersection improvements at the three intersecting roads. (Roundabout)	\$4,138,000	Construction funding no longer secured
Skagit County	Thomas Creek Bridge (Old Highway 99 at Thomas Creek)	Replacement of Thomas Creek Bridge on Old Hwy 99N.	\$6,015,000	Construction pending
Skagit Transit	Skagit Station Fire Alarm System Replacement	Replace fire alarm system at Skagit Station, Mount Vernon, with modern system. Replace all existing devices and any additionally repairs/replacements of any existing fire alarm circuit wiring with opens, grounds, or shorts.	\$38,394	Project underway
Skagit Transit	Skagit Station Parking Lot Asphalt Maintenance	Skagit Station parking lot maintenance, sealing, re-striping, and pedestrian safety wayfinding planning and design.	\$58,113	Project underway
Skagit Transit	Skagit Transit's Maintenance Operations and Administration Facility Project Phases 2 & 3	This project will renovate Skagit Transit's new Maintenance, Operations, and Administration (MOA) Facility located at 11784 Bay Ridge Drive, Burlington WA.	\$48,978,041	Project underway
WSDOT - NW	Concrete Roadway Preservation Skagit Council of Governments (SCOG)	Replace existing concrete with a thicker concrete and steel bars at the joints. This work will extend the life of the highway at least another 40 years, and provide a smoother ride.	\$1,469,838	Project underway
WSDOT - NW	SR 11 North of Oyster Creek - Unstable Slopes	Stabilizes multiple unstable slopes along SR 11 between mileposts 11.29 and 13.46 to address chronic instability and ongoing maintenance needs.	\$13,730,095	Regionally Significant project not yet programmed in Skagit 2050 Regional Transportation Plan
WSDOT - NW	SR 536/Skagit River Bridge - Painting	The existing paint on the steel surfaces is weathered and damaged, allowing corrosion to occur. Cleaning and painting the steel surfaces will preserve the bridge and maintain the safety of the highway.	\$15,254,562	Project underway

3 AMENDMENT & ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATION PROCEDURES

Transportation priorities and funding strategies change over time. As is the case every year, it is likely the project list programmed in the RTIP in October 2026 will need to be altered during calendar year 2027. Federal requirements stipulate that in most cases jurisdictions cannot utilize FHWA or FTA federal funds on a project until it is programmed in the RTIP and STIP, even though the jurisdiction already has secured funding for that project. SCOG has developed RTIP amendment and administrative modification procedures to ensure that new projects and changes to existing projects can be included in the RTIP efficiently. These procedures are hyperlinked below.



- [RTIP Amendment and Administrative Modification Procedures](#)

Refer to the following figure for differences between amendments, administrative modifications and project updates that do not require an RTIP change.

AMENDMENTS	ADMINISTRATIVE MODIFICATIONS	NO CHANGE NEEDED
• Adding a new project to the RTIP • Deleting a project from the RTIP • Any change to a project's programmed amount equaling 30% or more • Any change to a project's programmed amount equaling \$3 million or more • Major scope changes • Adding a future phase to a project already programmed • Adding federal funds to a project that is already programmed but does not yet have federal funds programmed	• Changing the lead agency • Adding a prior phase to a project already programmed • Any change to a project's programmed amount equaling less than 30% and must be less than \$3 million • Correcting typographical errors • Making minor changes to project information (e.g. federal functional classification, improvement type, project termini or environmental type)	• Moving projects, and phases of projects, within the first four years of the RTIP • Changes to a project's federal funding source, when made as a funds management action • Federal authorization of more/less than federal funds programmed for a project phase, up to the total federal funds amount programmed for the project • Adjustments to a project award for project phases that already have federal authorization (does not apply to phases that have not yet received federal authorization or project phases that are closed)

COMPARISON OF RTIP ACTIONS

3.1 AMENDMENT CYCLE


Project sponsors are generally ready and willing to obligate federal funds soon after they are secured. To accommodate faster implementation of transportation projects, SCOG allows amendments to the RTIP on a monthly basis (excluding November and December). In order to ensure that sufficient time

is available for decision makers and the public to review the proposed amendment prior to formal action being taken, project sponsors must adhere to the deadlines listed in the following table.

SUBMIT REQUEST TO SCOG*	TAC REVIEW/ RECOMMENDATION	SCOG TPB ACTION	WSDOT REVIEW	FHWA/FTA REVIEW**	AMENDMENT INCLUDED IN STIP
12/30/2026	1/7/2027	1/20/2027†	1/15/2027	1/29/2027	2/12/2027
1/27/2027	2/4/2027	2/17/2027	2/19/2027	3/5/2027	3/19/2027
2/24/2027	3/4/2027	3/17/2027	3/19/2027	4/2/2027	4/16/2027
3/24/2027	4/1/2027	4/21/2027†	4/16/2027	4/30/2027	5/14/2027
4/28/2027	5/6/2027	5/19/2027	5/21/2027	6/4/2027	6/18/2027
5/26/2027	6/3/2027	6/16/2027	6/18/2027	7/2/2027	7/16/2027
6/23/2027	7/1/2027	7/21/2027†	7/16/2027	7/30/2027	8/13/2027
7/28/2027	8/5/2027	8/18/2027	8/20/2027	9/3/2027	9/17/2027
8/25/2027	9/2/2027	9/15/2027	9/17/2027	10/1/2027	10/15/2027
9/29/2027	10/7/2027	10/20/2027†	10/15/2027	10/29/2027	11/12/2027
Notes: Meeting dates and request deadlines are subject to change. *Request must include documentation of funding award and proof that project is consistent with RTIP Policy #2: Compliance with Local and Tribal Plans. **Administrative modifications do not require FHWA/FTA approval. Administrative modifications will be included in STIP at this date. †If WSDOT review deadline occurs before Transportation Policy Board action, SCOG will submit amendment to WSDOT by deadline and verify the SCOG TPB action once it occurs.					

Refer to the following figure for an illustrative representation of the RTIP and STIP amendment process.

RTIP AMENDMENT PROCESS




RTIP AMENDMENT CYCLE

4 PROJECTS

4.1 FISCALLY CONSTRAINED PROJECTS

The 2027–2023 fiscally constrained RTIP project list includes projects that have secured FHWA or FTA federal funding, or have secured funding from another source and are also regionally significant. This project list is updated as amendments are made to the RTIP. Projects included in the four-year fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP are forwarded to WSDOT for inclusion in the STIP. Section 4.2 includes projects that have been selected to receive regionally managed Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives funds in years five and six (2031 and 2032) of the RTIP.

- [2027–2030 Fiscally Constrained Projects](#)



A summary of the funding by project type in the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP as of October 21, 2026 is presented in the figure to the left. Many transportation projects planned to occur on non-regionally significant routes are not included in the RTIP. For example, jurisdictions' repaving programs, other than WSDOT's, are not included in the RTIP.

Forty-one percent (41%) of secured funding is committed to environmental projects designed to improve fish passage under WSDOT facilities along with a WSDOT soil-abatement project. Fifteen (15%) of secured funding included in the fiscally constrained portion of the 2027–2030 RTIP is dedicated to Skagit Transit and Guemes Island Ferry projects. Four percent (4%) of secured funding is devoted to safety projects, with thirty-three percent (33%) to maintenance/preservation projects – primarily WSDOT paving projects. Six percent (6%) of secured funding is slated to expand capacity of the transportation system, and the remaining one percent (1%) of secured funding is programmed to other project types. Project

funding that has not yet been secured, and all funding in 2031 and 2032, is not included in these percentages.

The next figure illustrates the proportion of federal, state and local funds programmed in the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP. Eighty-six percent (86%) of the secured funding for fiscally constrained RTIP projects is from federal sources, with six percent (6%) from state sources. Eight percent (8%) of project funding is from local sources, which are commonly used as matching funds to federal grants received by project sponsors. Many transportation projects utilizing only state and/or local funds are not included in the RTIP, so are not included in this financial analysis.

4.2 ILLUSTRATIVE PRIORITIES

To ensure that SCOG has a fully programmed four-year RTIP, SCOG typically selects projects to ensure that at least six years' worth of Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives funding is programmed. The first four years in the RTIP are fiscally constrained and included in the STIP (see Section 4.1). The additional two years' worth of programmed projects function as Illustrative Priorities if additional funding becomes available to SCOG. Examples of ways that additional funds can become available include:

- A project currently in the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP is unable to proceed and the agency returns the funds to SCOG;
- A project which previously obligated Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program, or Transportation Alternatives funds de-obligates⁷ its funds, including if a project closes out using less than the amount of federal funds awarded; and
- Allocations of Surface Transportation Block Grant Program, Carbon Reduction Program and Transportation Alternatives funds are higher than anticipated at the time of RTIP adoption.

If additional funds are obtained by SCOG, the projects can be moved to the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP in the next RTIP update, or through the amendment process. Additional considerations (e.g. lower costs, project readiness) may be used in the determination of projects that will be added to the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP from the Illustrative Priorities. The 2031–2032 Illustrative Priorities are hyperlinked below.

- [2031–2032 Illustrative Projects](#)


4.3 REGIONALLY SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS

Regionally significant projects are projects that serve regional transportation needs on the existing or proposed regional transportation system. Per the [23 CFR 450.104](#) definition of a regionally significant project, examples of these types of projects include "...access to and from the area outside the region; major activity centers in the region; major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, or employment centers; or transportation terminals..." and would normally be included in modeling of SCOG's regional transportation network.

The determination of whether or not a project is regionally significant is made by SCOG in the agency's capacity as a metropolitan planning organization. Regionally significant projects are programmed in the RTIP, even if there is no federal funding associated with the project. Examples of projects determined to be regionally significant are in the following hyperlink.

- [RTIP Determinations of Regional Significance](#)

Funding Source



⁷ De-obligation occurs when the project sponsor has obligated (see footnote 1) funds for a particular project and then the project is unable to move forward for some reason, or a project closes out with federal funds remaining that were not expended. The funds are essentially "returned to the pot" of regionally managed funds.

5 FINANCIAL PLAN

5.1 FISCAL CONSTRAINT

The RTIP is required to include a financial plan that demonstrates how the program of projects can be implemented. The detailed financial table located in Section 5.2 includes the estimated amount of available funds, programmed funds and remaining funds by fund type for each program year. Project sponsors with projects in the RTIP have indicated that they have the financial resources available to provide matching funds to complete project phases with fully secured funding.

FINANCIAL PLANNING ASSUMPTIONS

ACCOUNTING FOR INFLATION

The project costs reported in the RTIP include an adjustment to account for annual inflation of prices. *Skagit 2050* accounts for the effect of inflation scheduled in the mid- and long-range horizons. However, the process used to account for inflation on RTIP projects is left to the judgment of the project sponsors, as they have a better sense of short-term inflationary pressures on their particular projects.

Fiscal Constraint: the RTIP demonstrates that the programmed projects will not cost more than the expected amount of funding available in the first four years.

FEDERAL REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Revenue projections for WSDOT state managed federal funds (e.g. National Highway Performance Program, Highway Safety Improvement Program, etc.) generally equal the amount programmed in the RTIP. Because SCOG has no control over project funding decisions for these fund types, only federal funds that have been secured by project sponsors are assumed to be reasonably available. For future year allocations in the RTIP, SCOG managed federal funds are assumed to be the same as regional allocations for federal fiscal year 2026.

STATE REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Several state sources of funding are identified in the RTIP that have historically been used for transportation purposes. These state projections include projects that have secured funding, including match, to fully complete a phase, as well as available funds that have not yet been secured. State funding is often used with federal funding and any required match to complete a phase of a project, or used alone without any federal funding or match.

LOCAL REVENUE PROJECTIONS

Revenue projections from local sources are funds expected to reasonably be available outside of federal and state sources. These funds are typically used as match for other funds sources, but may be higher than any required match. In addition to meeting match requirements, project sponsors will often use this category of revenues to fill a gap in a project phase and ensure fully secured funding to complete the phase.

5.2 FINANCIAL FEASIBILITY TABLE

SCOG has prepared a financial table that identifies all of the funding programmed in the fiscally constrained portion of the RTIP and documents the amount of funding that is reasonably expected to be available. The table, linked below, demonstrates that the first four years of the 2027–2032 RTIP are fiscally constrained and financially feasible. Programmed expenditures are balanced with funding reasonably expected to be made available over the programming period.

- [2027–2030 Financial Feasibility Table](#)

6 REGIONAL PERFORMANCE TARGETS

SCOG is responsible for setting regional performance targets for the nationwide approach to performance-based planning. The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act, signed into law in 2012, introduced many new requirements for state departments of transportation, transit agencies and metropolitan planning organizations. As a metropolitan planning organization, these requirements apply to SCOG. Statewide performance targets are documented in folios on [WSDOT's website](#).

The applicable federal administrations and categories of performance targets are listed below:

- Federal Transit Administration
 - Transit Asset Management Targets
 - Transit Safety Targets
- Federal Highway Administration
 - Highway Safety Targets
 - Highway Bridge Condition Targets
 - Highway Pavement Condition Targets
 - Highway Travel Time and Freight Reliability Targets

Initial regional performance targets for transit asset management were set by SCOG in June 2017 and highway safety targets were set in February 2018. For transit asset management targets, SCOG set targets for buses, other passenger vehicles, ferries, non-revenue service vehicles, administration and maintenance, as well as passenger and parking facilities. For highway safety targets, SCOG agreed to plan and program projects in the Skagit region to contribute toward the accomplishment of WSDOT statewide safety performance targets for the five safety measures – each related to fatalities and serious injuries.

Initial regional performance targets for highway bridge condition, highway pavement condition, highway travel time and freight reliability were set by SCOG in October 2018. For each of these categories, SCOG agreed to plan and program projects in the Skagit region to contribute toward the accomplishment of WSDOT statewide performance targets.

SCOG set initial regional performance targets for transit safety in November 2020. The seven transit safety performance measures are related to fatalities, injuries, safety events and system reliability.

Deadlines for target setting and related target-setting dates at SCOG are in the following table.

PERFORMANCE TARGETS CATEGORY	UPCOMING SCOG DEADLINE TO SET TARGETS	LATEST TARGETS SET BY SCOG
FTA: Transit Asset Management	N/A*	7/19/2017
FTA: Transit Safety	N/A*	11/18/2020
FHWA: Highway Safety	2/27/2027	2/18/2026
FHWA: Highway Bridge Condition	3/21/2027**	5/17/2023
FHWA: Highway Pavement Condition	3/21/2027**	5/17/2023
FHWA: Highway Travel Time and Freight Reliability	3/21/2027**	5/17/2023
Notes: *Initial deadline to set Transit Asset Management targets was 6/28/2017 and initial deadline to set Transit Safety targets was 2/15/2021. Per FTA guidance, SCOG may choose to revise or maintain these targets when RTIP or Skagit 2050 is updated, in consultation with WSDOT and Skagit Transit.		
**SCOG has 180 days to set regional performance targets after WSDOT sets revised dates for these performance targets. SCOG estimates that WSDOT will set targets on 10/1/2026.		

6.1 TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT

Regional performance targets for transit asset management were adopted by the Transportation Policy Board on June 21, 2017, and revised on July 19, 2017. Regional performance targets by asset class for this category are in the following table, and have been maintained since 2017.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION	REGIONAL PERFORMANCE TARGET
1	Buses	Percent of Buses that exceed Useful Life Benchmark	No greater than 10%
2	Other Passenger Vehicles	Percent of Other Passenger Vehicles that exceed Useful Life Benchmark	No greater than 10%
3	Ferries	Percent of Ferries that exceed Useful Life Benchmark	No greater than 0%
4	Non-revenue Service Vehicles	Percent of Non-revenue Service Vehicles that exceed Useful Life Benchmark	No greater than 10%
5	Administration and Maintenance	Percent of Administration and Maintenance facilities that have a Transit Economic Requirements Model condition rating below 3 ("Adequate")	No greater than 15%
6	Passenger and Parking	Percent of Passenger and Parking facilities have a Transit Economic Requirements Model condition rating below 3 ("Adequate")	No greater than 15%

The owners of assets that these regional performance targets apply to are the WSDOT Ferries Division and Skagit Transit. SCOG initially coordinated with both these agencies in 2017 to get an inventory of current assets and targets set by WSDOT and Skagit Transit. Condition information for assets and performance targets for 2018 was made available to SCOG after both agencies prepared initial transit asset management plans in late 2018. The most recent updates to condition information for assets and performance targets were completed in 2022 by WSDOT and 2025 by Skagit Transit, with each updating their transit asset management plans.

6.2 TRANSIT SAFETY

Regional performance targets for transit safety measures were adopted by the Transportation Policy Board on November 18, 2020. There are seven performance measures for which targets were set for this category. Adopted regional performance targets for fixed-routes buses and not-fixed-route buses are included in the following table.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION	REGIONAL PERFORMANCE TARGET BY MODE	
			FIXED-ROUTE BUS*	NON-FIXED-ROUTE BUS**
1	Fatalities	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of fatalities	0	0
2	Fatality Rate	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of fatalities per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles by mode	0.00	0.00
3	Injuries	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of injuries	2	1
4	Injury Rate	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of injuries per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles by mode	0.20	0.08

5	Safety Events	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of safety events	20	12
6	Safety Event Rate	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of safety events per 100,000 vehicle revenue miles by mode	1.40	0.80
7	System Reliability***	Five-year (2016–2020) rolling average of mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode	74,874	69,582
Notes: *Fixed-route Bus targets by mode apply to local and express (40X, 80X, 90X) services; **Non-fixed-route Bus targets by mode apply to paratransit and vanpool services; and ***System reliability is calculated as the mean distance between major mechanical failures.				

Transit safety requirements apply to Skagit Transit, which set their initial safety targets in August 2020 and included them within their adopted Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan – a related federal requirement for performance-based planning. The plan was provided to SCOG in August 2020. Most recently, Skagit Transit updated their plan in 2025, adopting new transit safety performance targets, and provided it to SCOG in November 2025.

6.3 HIGHWAY SAFETY

SCOG – along with all other metropolitan planning organizations in Washington state – worked to set regional performance targets for highway safety earlier this year. [Target Zero](#), with the goal of eliminating all roadway fatalities and serious injuries by 2030, is Washington state’s Strategic Highway Safety Plan and is used as the foundation for the target setting process at the statewide level. Highway safety performance measures and targets apply to all public roadways.

The five highway safety measures are included in the following table.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION
1	Fatalities	Five-year rolling average of fatalities on all public roadways in the Skagit region
2	Fatality Rate	Five-year rolling average of fatalities per 100 million vehicle miles traveled in the Skagit region
3	Serious Injuries	Five-year rolling average of serious injuries on all public roadways in the Skagit region
4	Serious Injury Rate	Five-year rolling average of serious injuries per 100 million vehicle miles traveled in the Skagit region
5	Non-motorist Fatalities and Serious Injuries	Five-year rolling average of non-motorist fatalities and serious injuries on all public roadways in the Skagit region

Metropolitan planning organizations across the U.S. are given a choice through applicable federal regulations when setting regional highway safety targets. The choice is either to:

1. Agree to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of the state DOT (WSDOT) safety target for that performance measure; or
2. Commit to quantifiable safety targets for performance measures in their metropolitan planning area (the Skagit region).

On February 18, 2026, the Transportation Policy Board took action agreeing to plan and program projects in the Skagit region so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of WSDOT statewide safety performance targets. Programming of projects that help meet statewide safety targets can be accomplished when SCOG includes projects in the RTIP that aim to reduce fatalities and serious injuries in the Skagit region.

An [inventory showing statewide and regional safety trends](#) was prepared by SCOG in 2026, using data provided by WSDOT.

EXAMPLES OF INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

The SR 20/Burlington to Sedro-Woolley – Corridor Improvements project utilizes federal Highway Safety Improvement Program funding on what has been identified by WSDOT as a Crash Analysis Corridor. A series of compact roundabouts are included in the project along with dual faced mountable curb, restricting left-turn movements and resulting in fewer crashes with lower severity.

2027-2030 Regional Transportation Improvement Program Project Data Sheet

Agency: WSDOT - NW
Project Title: SR 20/Burlington to Sedro-Woolley - Corridor Improvements

Description: SR 20 has been identified as a Crash Analysis Corridor. This project will install a series of compact roundabouts at Gardner Road, District Line Road, and Collins Road. Dual faced mountable curb will be installed between the roundabouts to restrict left-turn movements. The result will be fewer crashes with lower severity for motorists. NOTE: Total project cost includes Toll Credits.

Road Name: SR 20
Begin Termini: SR 20 MP 61.32
End Termini: SR 20 MP 63.23
Total Project Length: 1.91

Improvement Type: Safety
Functional Class: Other Principal Arterial
Environmental Type: Categorical Exclusion
Priority Number: 1

Amendment Number: [blank]
Amendment Date: [blank]
Total Project Cost: \$15,198,431

Regionally Significant: ☒ Right-of-Way Required: ☒
STIP ID: WA-12458
WSDOT PIN: 102061A
Federal Aid: 0020(207)
Number: [blank]
SCOG ID: [blank]
Agency ID: [blank]
Hearing Date: [blank]
Adoption Date: [blank]
Resolution Number: [blank]

Phase	Phase Start	Federal Fund Code	Federal Funds	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total	Date Programmed
CN	2027	HSIP	\$2,640,461		\$72,947	\$0	\$2,713,408	10/21/2026
CN	2028	HSIP	\$6,982,552		\$192,805	\$0	\$7,175,357	10/21/2026
CN	2029	HSIP	\$156,472		\$4,323	\$0	\$160,795	10/21/2026
	Total		\$9,779,485		\$270,175	\$0	\$10,049,660	

6.4 HIGHWAY BRIDGE CONDITION

EXAMPLE PROJECT TO IMPROVE SAFETY

The condition of bridges on the National Highway System is assessed by WSDOT in the Skagit region and across Washington state. Condition is evaluated for bridge decks, superstructure, substructure and culverts. A rating system is used to classify overall condition as either “Good”, “Fair” or “Poor”. SCOG worked to set regional performance targets for highway bridge condition in spring 2023.

The two highway bridge condition measures are included in the following table.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION
1	Good Bridge Condition	Percent of National Highway System bridges in the Skagit region classified in Good condition
2	Poor Bridge Condition	Percent of National Highway System bridges in the Skagit region classified in Poor condition

Metropolitan planning organizations across the U.S. are given a choice through applicable federal regulations when setting regional highway bridge condition targets on the National Highway System. The choice is either to:

1. Agree to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of the state DOT (WSDOT) National Highway System bridge condition target for that performance measure; or
2. Commit to quantifiable National Highway System bridge condition targets for performance measures in their metropolitan planning area (the Skagit region).

On May 17, 2023, the Transportation Policy Board took action agreeing to plan and program projects in the Skagit region so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of WSDOT statewide highway

bridge condition performance targets. Programming of projects that help meet targets can be accomplished when SCOG includes projects in the RTIP that aim to improve National Highway System bridge condition in the Skagit region.

An [inventory showing highway bridge condition in the Skagit region](#) was prepared by SCOG in 2023, using data provided by WSDOT.

EXAMPLES OF INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

The I-5/Southbound Samish River – Bridge Deck Overlay project, completed in 2022, is an example of a RTIP programming decision made by SCOG linking investment priorities toward achieving performance outcomes. The bridge at this location is on the National Highway System, and was identified by SCOG in 2018 – using WSDOT bridge condition information – as the only National Highway System bridge in Poor condition in the Skagit region. Subsequently, the project was programmed in the RTIP, with the construction phase obligating funding in 2022 and construction complete the same year. Funding to construct this project came through the National Highway Performance Program.

6.5 HIGHWAY PAVEMENT CONDITION

The condition of pavement on the National Highway System is assessed by WSDOT in the Skagit region and across Washington state. Assessment of pavement condition is based on the International Roughness Index, cracking, rutting of asphalt pavement and faulting of jointed concrete pavement. Pavement is classified as either in “Good”, “Fair” or “Poor” condition. SCOG worked to set regional performance targets for pavement condition in spring 2023.

The four highway pavement measures are included in the following table.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION
1	Good Interstate Pavement Condition	Percent of pavement of the Interstate System in Good condition in the Skagit region
2	Poor Interstate Pavement Condition	Percent of pavement of the Interstate System in Poor condition in the Skagit region
3	Good Non-Interstate Pavement Condition	Percent of pavement on the National Highway System in Good condition in the Skagit region, excluded the Interstate System
4	Poor Non-Interstate Pavement Condition	Percent of pavement on the National Highway System in Poor condition in the Skagit region, excluded the Interstate System

Metropolitan planning organizations across the U.S. are given a choice through applicable federal regulations when setting regional highway pavement condition targets for the National Highway System. The choice is either to:

1. Agree to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of the state DOT (WSDOT) National Highway System pavement condition target for that performance measure; or
2. Commit to quantifiable National Highway System pavement condition targets for performance measures in their metropolitan planning area (the Skagit region).

On May 17, 2023, the Transportation Policy Board took action agreeing to plan and program projects in the Skagit region so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of WSDOT statewide performance targets for highway pavement condition on the National Highway System. Programming of

projects that help meet targets can be accomplished when SCOG includes projects in the RTIP that aim to improve pavement condition in the Skagit region's portion of the National Highway System.

A [pavement inventory of the National Highway System in the Skagit region](#) was prepared by SCOG in 2023. The inventory used the most recently available WSDOT highway pavement condition information for the Skagit region. SCOG also prepared a [webmap](#) in 2023 depicting pavement and highway bridge condition data in the Skagit region.

EXAMPLES OF INVESTMENT PRIORITIES

WSDOT programmatic projects are used to assist in meeting pavement related performance outcomes for the National Highway System. An example of this type of project is the Asphalt/Chip Seal Preservation programmatic project for the Skagit region. While programmed as one project in the RTIP, this is actually a grouping of projects by type of work, often referred to as “pavers” (i.e. preservation of pavement via asphalt or chip seal). This programmatic project is supported in part by Surface Transportation Block Grant Program and National Highway Performance Program funds programmed in the RTIP.

Phase	Phase Start	Federal Fund Code	Federal Funds	State Fund Code	State Funds	Local Funds	Total	Date Programmed
PE	2027	NHPP	\$232,453	MVA	\$21,494	\$0	\$253,947	10/21/2026
PE	2027	STBG(S)	\$228,978		\$0	\$0	\$228,978	10/21/2026
PE	2028		\$0	MVA	\$15,145	\$0	\$15,145	10/21/2026
PE	2029		\$0	MVA	\$1,442	\$0	\$1,442	10/21/2026
CN	2027		\$0	MVA	\$27,327	\$0	\$27,327	10/21/2026
CN	2028	NHPP	\$2,419,027	MVA	\$194,888	\$0	\$2,613,915	10/21/2026
CN	2029	STBG(S)	\$52,588,411	MVA	\$1,094,750	\$0	\$53,683,161	10/21/2026
Total			\$55,468,667		\$1,214,846	\$0	\$56,683,513	

EXAMPLE PROJECT TO IMPROVE PAVEMENT CONDITION

6.6 HIGHWAY TRAVEL TIME AND FREIGHT RELIABILITY

Highway travel time and freight reliability relates to how well the National Highway System is performing for travelers. Reliability is assessed using the National Performance Management Research Data Set – a data set available to WSDOT and SCOG that is derived from vehicle/passenger probe data, such as GPS information, navigation units, cell phones and truck transponders. These data are used to compare travel times between 50th, 80th and 95th percentile speeds to ascertain reliability of the National Highway System, both for Interstates and non-Interstates. The measure for Interstate Freight Reliability is calculated using a different methodology than the other two measures.

The three highway travel time and freight reliability measures are in the following table.

NUMBER	NAME	DESCRIPTION
1	Interstate Travel Time Reliability	Percent of person-miles traveled on the Interstate System that are reliable in the Skagit region
2	Non-Interstate Travel Time Reliability	Percent of person-miles traveled on the National Highway System that are reliable in the Skagit region, excluding the Interstate System
3	Interstate Freight Reliability	Truck Travel Time Reliability Index on the Interstate System in the Skagit region

Metropolitan planning organizations across the U.S. are given a choice through applicable federal regulations when setting regional National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability performance targets. The choice is either to:

1. Agree to plan and program projects so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of the state DOT (WSDOT) National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability targets for that performance measure; or
2. Commit to quantifiable National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability targets for performance measures in their metropolitan planning area (the Skagit region).

On May 17, 2023, the Transportation Policy Board took action agreeing to plan and program projects in the Skagit region so that they contribute toward the accomplishment of statewide performance targets for National Highway System travel time reliability and Interstate System freight reliability. Programming of projects that help meet statewide targets can be accomplished when SCOG includes projects in the RTIP that aim to improve travel time and freight reliability in the Skagit region's portion of the National Highway System.

Inventories of [highway travel time reliability](#) and [freight reliability](#) were prepared by SCOG in 2023 for the Skagit region using data from the National Performance Management Research Data Set.

7 OBLIGATION AUTHORITY

SCOG is subject to a statewide deadline of obligating regionally managed FHWA funds by the end of the federal fiscal year, along with all other metropolitan planning organizations in Washington state. These statewide requirements are detailed in the [Local Agency Federal OA Policy](#). Washington state, along with all other states, is at risk of losing federal funds every year if statewide obligating authority targets are not met by the end of each federal fiscal year. The 2027 federal fiscal year ends on September 30, 2027.

RTIP projects programmed in 2027 are an “agreed to” list of projects that can obligate federal funding by implementing agencies, following approval of the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program in January 2027. Obligation does not occur until project sponsors enter into an agreement with WSDOT for the project/project phase programmed in the RTIP. Funds can also be “flexed” between FHWA and FTA programs in certain circumstances, but must be in the first year of the RTIP (2027) for approval of these transfers.

7.1 2027 OBLIGATION AUTHORITY PLAN

An obligation authority plan is prepared by SCOG for 2027. The purpose of the plan is to provide project tracking information and communicate expected 2027 obligations and dates to partners, including implementing agencies and WSDOT. At a minimum, the obligation authority plan includes all projects selected to receive SCOG regionally managed FHWA funds that are programmed in 2027.

The 2027 Obligation Authority Plan may include projects implementing the following gap strategies, in prioritized order, if the SCOG regional obligation authority target will not be met by March 1, 2027:

- 1. Advancing 2028–2030 Fiscally Constrained Projects;**
- 2. Advancing 2031–2032 Illustrative Projects;**
- 3. Advancing Contingency List Projects; and**

4. Adding to Past Federal Project Awards.

Descriptions of gap strategies, along with procedures used to implement each strategy, are included in the RTIP Obligation Authority Procedures. A process to request extensions on the March 1 obligation deadline is also included in the procedures.

The 2027 Obligation Authority Plan is not incorporated into the 2027–2032 RTIP, and is revised by SCOG as necessary to meet the regional obligation authority target during federal fiscal year 2027.

7.2 OBLIGATION AUTHORITY PROCEDURES

Obligation authority procedures – including extension requests, gap strategies and appeals – have been established to clearly demonstrate how SCOG will actively manage the obligation authority process to meet the regional obligation authority target by the end of every federal fiscal year. The procedures are included in the following hyperlink.

- [RTIP Obligation Authority Procedures](#)

The RTIP Obligation Authority Procedures are incorporated as an element of the 2027–2032 RTIP.